

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)



UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY® Regulations

Governing Regulation XX

Responsible Office: Office of the President and
Office of the Provost

Date Effective:

Supersedes Regulation Version: New Governing Regulation

1
2
3

Faculty Disciplinary Policy and Procedures (DRAFT)

4 Hyper Links to be inserted later

5
6

I. Introduction

7 The University provides and sustains an environment conducive to academic achievement in all its
8 forms. Maintenance of this environment requires that faculty pursue their academic activities in
9 accordance with appropriate standards of scholarly activity. The faculty's privileges and protections of
10 tenure rest on the mutually supportive relationships among the faculty's professional competence, its
11 academic freedom, and the central functions of the university in granting degrees and furthering creative
12 accomplishments. These relationships are also the source of the professional responsibilities of faculty.
13 It is a responsibility of the university to maintain conditions and rights supportive of the faculty's pursuit of
14 these central functions.

15
16 University faculty members, like all University employees, must obey the rules, standards, and
17 procedures that arise under federal and state constitutions, statutes, and regulations, University
18 Governing and Administrative Regulations, the University Senate Rules, and other regulatory
19 jurisdictions (GR II.A). For purposes of this document, we define "misconduct" as a failure to obey the
20 laws and regulations described in the preceding sentence.

21
22 As is consistent with the principles set forth in the professional standard of academic freedom as defined
23 by the American Association of University Professors and the Association of American Colleges and
24 Universities, academic freedom does not excuse a faculty member from complying with the rules, standards
25 and procedures that arise under federal and state laws, statutes, and regulations, University Governing and
26 Administrative Regulations, the University Senate Rules, and other regulatory jurisdictions (GR II.A), as
27 described in paragraph 2 above.

28
29

II. Scope

30 This regulation is applicable to all faculty members in the University community ranging from entry-level
31 faculty ranks to the President of the University of Kentucky. For purposes of this regulation, a "faculty
32 member" means an individual holding the rank of professor, associate professor, assistant professor,
33 instructor, senior lecturer, lecturer, and any other rank with responsibilities comparable to the
34 aforementioned ranks. This definition applies to faculty regardless of title series appointments, their
35 distribution-of-effort agreements, any administrative appointments in addition to faculty academic
36 appointments, standing as tenured or untenured, visiting or permanent, temporary, emeritus or active,
37 and full-time or part-time status. The definition of university faculty in this regulation is broader than the
38 definition of faculty in other regulations and in HR Policy 4.0.

39

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

40 This regulation does not apply to faculty performance review or expectations for scholarly activity for
41 purposes of appointment, reappointment, promotion, and tenure. Faculty performance review and
42 expectations for scholarly activity are covered in other Administrative Regulations (AR), as well as
43 regulations that describe for each title series the expected activities and criteria for promotion and tenure.
44

45 To the extent that university administrators, including the President of the university, hold appointments
46 as faculty members, faculty administrators are subject to this regulation with respect to activities related
47 to their faculty appointment.
48

49 This regulation is separate and distinct from independent proceedings involving the enforcement of
50 clinical rights and clinical responsibilities that arise under the Joint Commission mandated UK
51 HealthCare Medical Staff By-laws, the federally mandated University's research misconduct policy, or the
52 federally mandated Title IX Sexual Assault procedures. Faculty members who are subject to disciplinary
53 proceedings under those procedures may be subjected to additional disciplinary proceedings under this
54 regulation.
55

56 This regulation also does not describe the proceedings for the revocation of tenure or for the termination
57 of academic appointments of faculty members who do not have tenure.
58

59 The procedures established by this regulation do not supersede Governing Regulations or Administrative
60 Regulations that specifically permit appeal to, or appearances before, the Board or a Board Committee.
61

62 III. Entities Affected

63 This regulation will apply to all faculty members as defined in this regulation.
64

65 IV. Procedures

66 The following procedures govern the handling of misconduct by a faculty member.
67

68 For purposes of computing deadlines under these procedures, if the deadline falls on a Sunday, Monday,
69 university-recognized holiday or day when the University's Office of the President is closed, the deadline
70 is automatically extended to the next day when the University's Office of the President is open.
71

72 For purposes of this regulation, "written notification" means notification by formal written letter and
73 notification by electronic message.
74

75 A. Allegations

76
77 Any person may make a complaint against a faculty member by making allegations to the chair of a
78 faculty member's department, the Dean of the faculty member's college, or an appropriate university
79 official. Additionally, routine university operations, such as audits or compliance reviews, may result
80 in allegations against a faculty member.
81

82 Allegations against a faculty member by a member of the University community that are made other
83 than in good faith, may rise to the level of harassment and bring the Complainant under scrutiny as
84 delineated in these regulations.
85

86 In the event of either allegations reported initially to a chair and subsequently to a Dean or allegations
87 reported directly to a Dean, the Dean must inform the General Counsel. In consultation with the
88 General Counsel, the Dean will determine (1) whether an investigation should be conducted, and (2)
89 if so, the appropriate university office(s) to conduct an investigation. The context of the allegation will
90 dictate the time at which the accused faculty member is informed of the allegation. For purposes of

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

91 this regulation, a dean may designate an appropriate associate dean and the General Counsel may
92 designate an associate counsel or non-university counsel retained by the General Counsel to handle
93 the allegation.

94
95 Allegations brought forward and adjudicated under this regulation cannot be reopened without
96 substantive new evidence, as determined by the General Counsel.

97 98 B. University Investigation

99
100 Depending on the nature of the allegation, the appropriate university office(s) (e.g., Institutional
101 Equity and Equal Opportunity, Internal Audit, UK HealthCare Corporate Compliance, UK Police, UK
102 Athletics Compliance, Office of Legal Counsel, etc.) will conduct the investigation. The report will
103 include findings of fact, a conclusion as to whether misconduct occurred, and, if misconduct did
104 occur, a nonbinding recommendation regarding disciplinary action (*i.e.*, sanctions). The Office(s)
105 conducting the investigation will submit the investigation report to the General Counsel. The General
106 Counsel will transmit the report to the Dean of the faculty member's college. If an investigation finds
107 nothing to support an allegation, the General Counsel will transmit these findings to the Dean, the
108 Complainant (if known), and the accused faculty member.

109 110 C. Notification and Mediation

- 111
112 1. If the report indicates misconduct has occurred, the Dean will provide written notification to the
113 faculty member as soon as feasible and preferably within two (2) days. The Dean will provide the
114 faculty member with a copy of the report. The faculty member may submit a written response to
115 the Dean and General Counsel within seven (7) days of notification by the Dean. The Dean may
116 extend this response period by an additional fourteen (14) days on oral or written request by the
117 faculty member.
- 118
119 2. The Dean will schedule a meeting with the faculty member and the faculty member's chair, which
120 will be held within twenty-one (21) days of the Dean's notification to the faculty member. The
121 purpose of the meeting is for the Dean, the chair and the faculty member to attempt to reach an
122 agreement as to whether misconduct occurred and, if so, an appropriate sanction. In cases where
123 the faculty member elects to have personal legal counsel at the meeting(s) with the Dean and
124 chair, an attorney from the Office of Legal Counsel must also be present. It is to be hoped that
125 the majority of cases will be resolved at this stage through mediation.
- 126
127 3. Sanctions will not take effect until a final determination of guilt is made and all appeals exhausted
128 (see G9 below). Sanctions will not exceed a level that is reasonably commensurate with the
129 seriousness of the misconduct. Sanctions are defined, for the purposes of this entire document,
130 as the following:
- 131
- 132 • Verbal reprimand
 - 133 • Written censure
 - 134 • Restitution to the University for actual monetary damage suffered by the University
135 through misuse or unauthorized use of University property
 - 136 • Loss of specific privileges including, but not limited to, loss of access to University Pro-
137 Card or travel funds
 - 138 • Removal from supervisory role and loss of title associated with that role
 - 139 • Removal from named or endowed chair or professorship
 - 140 • Reduction in salary for a specified period of time
 - 141 • Demotion in rank, but only if promotion was based on a fraudulent dossier
 - 142 • Suspension with or without pay for a specified period of time
 - 143 • Relocation and/or loss of space currently occupied by and/or assigned to the faculty
144 member
 - 145 • Denial or curtailment of emeritus status, if applicable

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

- Recommending to the President and Board of Trustees that the faculty member in question be dismissed from the employ of the University

Suspension is defined, for the purposes of this entire document, as the temporary withdrawal or cessation from specific duties or employment as distinguished from permanent severance accomplished by removal.

4. If the issue is resolved during the meeting/mediation, the Dean will notify the General Counsel. The General Counsel must approve any proposed agreement before its implementation.
5. If the Dean and faculty member fail to reach an agreement during the meeting as to whether misconduct occurred, the matter is referred to a Faculty Inquiry Panel. The Dean will notify the General Counsel that no agreement was reached on whether or not misconduct occurred. The General Counsel will then initiate the process leading to the selection of a Faculty Inquiry Panel by contacting the Chair of the University Senate Council.
6. If the Dean and the faculty member agree that misconduct occurred, but they disagree on the appropriate sanction or sanctions, the issue will be referred to the Provost, who will decide an appropriate sanction or sanctions within seven (7) days. As described below, the faculty member may appeal the Provost's decision to the President.

D. Selection of the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool

1. After seeking nominations from the University Senate, the University Senate Council will provide the President with the names of at least thirty-six (36) faculty members eligible to serve in the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool with staggered three-year terms; members may be reappointed. Efforts will be made by the University Senate Council to ensure that the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool includes [1] at least one tenured faculty member from each college and [2] at least one untenured faculty member from each of the Clinical and Research Title Series at the rank of professor or associate professor.
2. The President appoints twenty-five (25) members of the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool from nominations submitted by the University Senate Council. The appointed faculty will serve on either a Faculty Inquiry Panel or a Faculty Hearing Panel but not both for the same case.

E. Faculty Inquiry Panel

1. The Faculty Inquiry Panel is a three-person panel comprised of (1) one tenured faculty member selected at random by a uniform random number generator from the Faculty Disciplinary Hearing Panel Pool; the Vice-President for Human Resources or a designee; and an associate provost selected by the Provost. The rationale for the panel member from Human Resources is to ensure a balance in evaluating cases involving faculty *versus* those involving staff, and the rationale for the associate provost is to ensure a balance in evaluating cases across colleges. The General Counsel will notify the University Senate Council Chair that there is a need for a Faculty Inquiry Panel and the Chair of the University Senate Council will select a faculty member at random from the Faculty Disciplinary Hearing Panel Pool using a uniform random number generator. Precautions will be taken against real or apparent conflicts of interest on the part of potential members of the Faculty Inquiry Panel. Such conflicts of interest may include: administrative dependency, close personal relationships, collaborative relationships, financial interest, or scientific or scholarly bias. The panel members will be expected to state in writing that they have no conflicts of interest.
2. The Faculty Inquiry Panel is responsible for determining whether there is probable cause to believe misconduct has occurred. The Faculty Inquiry Panel is limited to a review of the initial investigation report and the faculty member's written response, if any. The Faculty Inquiry Panel

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

201 may interview the faculty member, the chair and the Dean, but the Faculty Inquiry Panel may not
202 conduct a further investigation. The Faculty Inquiry Panel may consider new findings of fact that
203 were not considered in the original investigation and that are provided by the General Counsel.
204

- 205 3. If the allegation involves a chair or dean, the Faculty Inquiry Panel will be expanded to include
206 three (3) tenured faculty members, who hold appointments in colleges other than that of the chair
207 or dean and who will be selected at random by a uniform random number generator from the
208 Faculty Disciplinary Hearing Panel Pool; the Vice-President for Human Resources or a designee;
209 and an associate provost selected by the Provost.
210
- 211 4. If the allegation involves a faculty employee holding an administration position above the level of
212 either a dean or an administratively equivalent position, the procedures to be used for convening
213 a Faculty Inquiry Panel will be determined by the President in consultation with Senate Council.
214
- 215 5. If the allegations involve the President, the procedures will be determined by the Board of
216 Trustees in consultation with the Senate Council.
217
- 218 6. If the Faculty Inquiry Panel, by a majority vote, finds there is probable cause to believe
219 misconduct has occurred, the case is referred to a Faculty Hearing Panel. The Faculty Inquiry
220 Panel will send notification *via* electronic mail of this finding to the Dean, the Complainant (if
221 known), the faculty member, and the General Counsel.
222
- 223 7. If the Faculty Inquiry Panel, by a majority vote, finds that there is no probable cause to believe
224 misconduct has occurred, the case is dismissed. The Faculty Inquiry Panel will send Notification
225 of this finding to the Dean, the Complainant (if known), the faculty member, and the General
226 Counsel.
227

228 F. Faculty Hearing Panel

- 229 1. The Faculty Hearing Panel is comprised of five (5) faculty members, who are selected at random
230 by the Senate Council Chair from the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool using a uniform random
231 number generator. The Senate Council Chair will consult with the Chair of the Department of
232 Statistics on the selection of this uniform random number generator. Faculty members who
233 occupy a position of administrative supervision over faculty personnel (*i.e.*, individuals with
234 greater than or equal to 50% administrative effort or individuals with an administrative assignment
235 at the level of chair or above) are ineligible to serve in the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool. A
236 person who served on the Faculty Inquiry Panel may not serve on the Faculty Hearing Panel in
237 the same case. Any member of the Faculty Hearing Panel who has personal involvement or prior
238 factual knowledge of the case (*i.e.*, knowing something pertinent to the case before it occurs
239 publicly) will recuse himself or herself. If a Faculty Hearing Panel member chooses recusal, then
240 a new member will be chosen at random from the Pool by the Senate Council Chair using a
241 uniform random number generator. Precautions will be taken against real or apparent conflicts of
242 interest on the part of potential members of the Faculty Hearing Panel. Such conflicts of interest
243 may include: administrative dependency, close personal relationships, collaborative relationships,
244 financial interest, or scientific or scholarly bias. The panel members will be expected to state in
245 writing that they have no conflicts of interest.
246
- 247 2. The General Counsel, upon receipt of a report from the Faculty Inquiry Panel that there is
248 probable cause to believe misconduct has occurred, will notify the Senate Council Chair that a
249 Faculty Hearing Panel is needed.
250
- 251 3. The Chair of the University Senate Council will select members of the panel at random from the
252 Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool using a uniform random number generator. The Senate Council
253 Chair will contact faculty members to serve on the Faculty Hearing Panel within seven (7) days of
254 receiving notification from the General Counsel. The Chair of the University Senate Council will
255

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

256 inform potential members of the Faculty Hearing Panel that the case involves a named faculty
257 member in a particular college.

- 258
- 259 4. Once a Faculty Hearing Panel is selected, the Dean and faculty member may each exclude one
260 member from the Panel. If either the Dean or faculty member excludes a Panel member, then the
261 Senate Council Chair will select a new member or members at random from the Faculty
262 Disciplinary Panel Pool using a uniform random number generator. When such exclusions occur,
263 the seven-day period for appointing the Faculty Hearing Panel will be extended by an additional
264 seven (7) days.
- 265
- 266 5. The Faculty Hearing Panel will conduct the hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving the referral
267 unless the Provost extends the time period in extraordinary circumstances. The Dean, through a
268 representative of the Office of Legal Counsel, will present the case against the faculty member.
269 The accused faculty member, either alone or with the assistance of at most two (2) additional
270 persons, such as an attorney and a representative chosen by the accused faculty member, may
271 present a defense. The parties may call witnesses, cross-examine the other party's witnesses,
272 and present other evidence; however, as this is an administrative hearing, formal rules of
273 evidence and procedure do not apply. The Faculty Hearing Panel may admit any evidence of
274 probative value in determining the issues involved.
- 275
- 276 6. The burden of proof standard is clear and convincing evidence. The burden of demonstrating that
277 misconduct occurred is on the representative of the Office of Legal Counsel, and the accused
278 faculty member is presumed to be innocent.
- 279
- 280 7. After the hearing, the Faculty Hearing Panel will consider the evidence presented at the hearing,
281 and the majority will issue written findings of fact and conclusions of law (*i.e.*, conclusion
282 regarding misconduct) within seven (7) days of the conclusion of the hearing. If a member of the
283 Faculty Hearing Panel dissents with the majority decision, that member may submit a written
284 explanation of his/her dissension. The Faculty Hearing Panel will send the written findings,
285 including dissents, and decision to the Provost, the Dean, , the accused faculty member, and the
286 General Counsel.
- 287
- 288 8. If the Faculty Hearing Panel finds the accused faculty member guilty of misconduct, the Panel will
289 recommend nonbinding sanctions to the Provost. The faculty member may appeal the finding of
290 guilt.
- 291
- 292 9. If the Faculty Hearing Panel finds that the accused faculty member is not guilty of misconduct, the
293 case is closed. The Dean may request the case be reopened when conditions of G.1.b are met.
294 ~~unless^[scb1] the dean submits a written appeal of the innocent decision based on substantive~~
295 ~~errors in the faculty hearing panel process or errors in interpretation of fact or law.~~
- 296
- 297 10. If the allegation involves the Provost, the President will assume the role of the Provost in these
298 proceeding.
- 299
- 300 11. If the allegation involves the President, the Board of Trustees assumes the role of the Provost in
301 these proceedings.

302 G. Appeals

303 This section covers appeals arising out of the decision and nonbinding recommendations from the
304 Faculty Hearing Panel and the sanctions recommended by the Provost. The procedures
305 established by this regulation do not supersede Governing Regulations or Administrative
306 Regulations that specifically permit appeal to, or appearances before, the Board or a Board
307 Committee.
308
309
310

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

311 ~~1. If the faculty member or Dean does not file a written appeal within seven (7) days of receipt of the~~
312 ~~Faculty Hearing Panel's written decision, the decision of the Faculty Hearing Panel as to guilt or~~
313 ~~innocence shall be final. The complainant, if known, shall be informed of the decision by General~~
314 ~~Counsel, to the extent required by law.~~

315 1a. Finding of Guilt. If the Panel finds the accused faculty member guilty, the accused faculty
316 member may appeal within seven (7) days of receipt of the Hearing Panel's decision determining
317 guilt. If the faculty member does not file an appeal, the decision of the Faculty Hearing Panel as
318 to guilt or innocence will be final.

319
320 1b. Finding of Innocence. In accordance with Section IV.A above, allegations brought forward and
321 adjudicated to the finding of innocence a Dean can cause the case be reopened for new
322 adjudication, when there is substantive new evidence as determined by the General Counsel.

323 ~~4.~~

324
325 2. The Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel shall hear all appeals. The panel consists of the Provost,
326 the Chair of the Senate Council, and the Academic Ombud. In the event the Provost is unable to
327 serve, the President shall appoint a replacement. In the event that the Academic Ombud or the
328 Chair of the Senate Council is unable to serve, the Senate Council shall appoint replacement(s).
329 Precautions will be taken against real or apparent conflicts of interest on the part of potential
330 members of the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel. Such conflicts of interest may include:
331 administrative dependency, close personal relationships, collaborative relationships, financial
332 interest, or scientific or scholarly bias. The panel members will be expected to state in writing that
333 they have no conflicts of interest.

334
335 ~~3. The faculty member and the dean will be provided with a copy copies of the written appeal and~~
336 ~~given an opportunity to respond in writing within seven (7) days.:~~

337
338 4. 3. The deliberations of the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel are limited to review of the specific
339 issues raised by the appellant (IV.F.8, F9). In determining whether the factual findings are clearly
340 erroneous, the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel will determine whether substantial evidence
341 (i.e. evidence a reasonable person would accept as adequate to support the conclusion) supports
342 the factual findings.

343
344 ~~5.4.~~ When a party the accused appeals to the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel, the party
345 accused must submit a written brief detailing the basis for the appeal and providing supporting
346 evidence attesting to the validity of the appeal. The party that prevailed at the Faculty Hearing
347 Panel dean will be provided with a copy of this brief and may submit a written response brief
348 within seven (7) days.

349
350 ~~6.5.~~ The Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel will render a final decision within seven (7) days
351 of the submission of briefs. If the Appeals Panel's decision is in agreement with the Hearing
352 Panel, the appellant and the appellee are notified of the Panel's decision and the reasons for the
353 decision. If the decision of the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel is not in agreement with the
354 decision of the Faculty Hearing Panel, the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel must also send a
355 written justification for the reversal to the Faculty Hearing Panel, the University Senate Council,
356 and the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees.

357
358 ~~7.6.~~ If the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel determines the faculty member is innocent, the
359 matter is closed.

360
361 ~~8.7.~~ If the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals panel determines the faculty member is guilty, the
362 Provost shall impose sanctions. In determining sanctions, the Provost is guided, but not bound,
363 by the Faculty Hearing Panel's recommendation, if any, concerning sanctions (If the Faculty
364 Hearing Panel found the faculty member to be innocent, but the decision was reversed on appeal,
365 there will be no Faculty Hearing Panel recommendation). The Provost will send notification of the

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

366 sanctions decision to the Dean, the University faculty member, the General Counsel, and the
367 Complainant, if known.

368
369 ~~9.8.~~ Within seven (7) days of receipt of the Provost's decision regarding a sanction or
370 sanctions, the accused faculty member may appeal the Provost's decision regarding any sanction
371 to the President. On an appeal of a particular sanction, the President will consider whether the
372 determination is reasonable in light of sanctions imposed on similarly situated staff members and
373 similarly situated faculty in other colleges. The President will render a final decision regarding a
374 sanction or sanctions within seven (7) days.

375
376 ~~10.9.~~ The sanction or sanctions will not take effect until the time to appeal the sanction or
377 sanctions has expired or the President has rendered his decision.

378
379 ~~11.10.~~ The complainant, if known, shall be informed of the decision by General Counsel to the
380 extent required by law.

381
382 ~~12.11.~~ Confidentiality of the record is guaranteed in accordance with Governing Regulations XVI
383 Section B., 1
384

V. Retaliation

386 Retaliation is prohibited. Retaliation occurs when an adverse action is taken against a covered individual
387 because he or she engaged in a protected activity including but not limited to reporting discrimination or
388 participating in an investigation of a discrimination report. (See AR 6.1)
389

VI. Administrative Leave With Pay during the Process

391 At any time during the process beginning with receipt of an allegation, the Provost, after consultation with
392 and on the advice of the General Counsel, may initiate immediate, involuntary administrative leave with
393 pay. The Provost may impose additional conditions as part of the administrative leave with pay.
394

- 395 1. The Provost will impose involuntary administrative leave with pay only if the Provost determines
396 that there is a risk that the accused faculty member's continued assignment to regular duties or
397 presence on campus may cause harm to others in the University community, impede the
398 investigation, or create a risk of continuing misconduct.
- 399 2. Involuntary administrative leave with pay for more than thirty (30) days requires approval of the
400 Board of Trustees.
- 401 3. The accused faculty member may appeal the imposition of involuntary administrative leave with
402 pay to the Senate Advisory Committee on Privilege and Tenure (SACPT), under SR1.4.4.2B4.
403
404
405

References and Related Materials

Revision History

410
411 For questions, contact: [Office of Legal Counsel](#)
412
413

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

_5Tagavi_OBO_DRAFT GR_Faculty Disciplinary Action_sen.docx

Main document changes and comments

Page 6: Inserted John Smith 4/21/2015 11:03:00 PM

. [The Dean may request the case be reopened when conditions of G.1.b are met.](#)

Page 6: Formatted John Smith 4/21/2015 11:06:00 PM

Underline, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(0,51,204))

Page 6: Formatted John Smith 4/21/2015 11:06:00 PM

Underline, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(0,51,204))

Page 6: Formatted John Smith 4/21/2015 11:00:00 PM

Underline, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(0,51,204))

Page 6: Formatted John Smith 4/21/2015 11:00:00 PM

Font color: Custom Color(RGB(0,51,204))

Page 6: Formatted John Smith 4/21/2015 10:59:00 PM

Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Page 6: Comment [scb1] Sheila Brothers 4/27/2015 10:56:00 AM

There has been much discussion about the whether the dean ought have a standing to appeal a decision of innocence by the Faculty Hearing Panel. At present, there appear to be two widely dichotomous views on resolving that question, one being the dean has a standing to appeal the case to an Appeals Panel (within certain narrow procedural grounds) and the other being that a dean ought have no standing to cause the case to continue by the dean appealing a finding of innocence.

There may be a third way to navigate the wording, perhaps to the begrudging satisfaction of parties on either side. The third way takes advantage of that it is already a provision elsewhere in the draft (IV.A, para. 4) that if there is substantive new information, that a case can be reopened back at the procedural step of assessment of whether the new information warrants activating an investigation (IV.B) and subsequent processes. Thus, in deference to administration, there is a mechanism available to a dean. However, in deference to faculty, it must be a factually substantive reason for additional investigation and not pretextual exercise of the Appeals Panel.

I have attached here some wordsmithing on what an amendment might look like to the current draft before the Senate, that makes explicit at the step of appeal, that only the faculty member has access to exercise the Appeal Panel, but a dean is not stymied from reopening an adjudicated case when substantive new information has become available.

Page 6: Formatted John Smith 4/21/2015 10:59:00 PM

Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Page 7: Formatted John Smith 4/20/2015 11:16:00 PM

Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Page 7: Inserted John Smith 4/20/2015 11:15:00 PM

1.

[1a. Finding of Guilt. If the Panel finds the accused faculty member guilty, the accused faculty member may appeal within seven \(7\) days of receipt of the Hearing Panel's decision determining guilt. If the faculty member does not file an appeal, the decision of the Faculty Hearing Panel as to guilt or innocence will be final.](#)

[1b. Finding of Innocence. In accordance with Section IV.A above, allegations brought](#)

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

forward and adjudicated to the finding of innocence a Dean can cause the case be reopened for new adjudication, when there is substantive new evidence as determined by the General Counsel.

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:16:00 PM
Font color: Red		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:19:00 PM
Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:21:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Blue		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:19:00 PM
Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:40:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Blue		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:19:00 PM
Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:21:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Blue		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:40:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Blue		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:40:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Blue		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:40:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Blue		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:40:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Blue		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:19:00 PM
Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:15:00 PM
Font color: Red, Strikethrough		
Page 7: Inserted	John Smith	4/21/2015 10:52:00 PM
a copy		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:15:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Red, Strikethrough		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:15:00 PM
Font color: Red, Strikethrough		
Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:15:00 PM
Underline, Font color: Red, Strikethrough		
Page 7: Inserted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:14:00 PM

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

~~within seven (7) days.~~

Page 7: Deleted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:14:00 PM
-----------------	------------	-----------------------

⋮

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:15:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Page 7: Inserted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:15:00 PM
------------------	------------	-----------------------

3.

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:15:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:09:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Font color: Red

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:52:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:52:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Font color: Red

Page 7: Inserted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:52:00 PM
------------------	------------	-----------------------

[the accused](#)

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:52:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Underline, Font color: Blue

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:52:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Font color: Blue

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:53:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Page 7: Inserted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:53:00 PM
------------------	------------	-----------------------

[accused](#)

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/20/2015 11:53:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Underline, Font color: Blue

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:16:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Font color: Red, Strikethrough

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:16:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Font color: Red

Page 7: Inserted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:16:00 PM
------------------	------------	-----------------------

[dean](#)

Page 7: Formatted	John Smith	4/21/2015 11:16:00 PM
-------------------	------------	-----------------------

Underline, Font color: Custom Color(0,51,204)

Header and footer changes

Text Box changes

Header and footer text box changes

5_Senator Tagavi Amendments (on behalf of a non-senator)

Footnote changes

Endnote changes